

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/737,192 12/16/2003 5511 Laura Leyva 24139.CON1 EXAMINER 9355 7590 06/06/2005 JACQUELINE E. HARTT, PH.D HARRIS, CHANDA L ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST, P.A. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT P.O. BOX 3791 ORLANDO, FL 32802-3791 3714

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			71
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/737,192	LEYVA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chanda L. Harris	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comb BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 D	ecember 2003.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the r	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	I.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		·
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTC	D-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of	ts have been received. ts have been received in a	Application No	itage
application from the International Burea	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	٠
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/22/04.		Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/737,192 Page 2

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 3, 6, and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- Claim 3, Line 3: "presentation" should be -- presented --. Appropriate correction is required.
- Claim 6, Line 2: "(a)-(c)" should be deleted.
- Claim 8, Line 2: "and" should be deleted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant claims "a *software program* ... *the method* comprising the *steps of*." It is not clear whether Applicant intends to claims a software program or a method. Moreover, the claim limitations are not consistent with *steps*. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims to computer programs (i.e., software program) per se are not statutory subject matter and should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101. On the other hand, a claim to a computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory.

Additionally, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. In the present case, the claimed invention (Claims 1-4 and 10-15) comprises a code segment for sequentially presenting to a subject a first plurality of images, each imaged positioned in a different sector of a display device; a code segment for simultaneously presenting to the subject a second plurality of images; and a code segment for prompting the subject to select an analogous image from the second plurality of images. There is no result being

Application/Control Number: 10/737,192

Art Unit: 3714

produced as a result of executing the limitations of Claims 1-4 and 10-15. Therefore, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter because the claimed invention does not produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result, and consequently, does not accomplish a practical application.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Colin et al. (US 6,346,043)
 - -image matching game method and apparatus
- Merzenich et al. (US 6,231,344)
 - -matching a target item with another item
- Ferrell (US 5,885,083)
 - -selecting the correct match with an input device
- Leyva (US 6,663,392)
 - -sequential reasoning testing system and method

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chanda L. Harris whose telephone number is 571-272-4448. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30am-4:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/737,192

Art Unit: 3714

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jessica Harrison can be reached on 571-272-4449. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chanda C. XIII Chanda L. Harris Primary Examiner Art Unit 3714